

$S_\tau^{n-1}$  is called a **relative attaching map**. As an immediate consequence of the CW-Homology Theorem we see that the homology of the chain complex  $(\underline{C}_*(X, A; \Lambda), \underline{\partial}_*)$  is independent of the choice of the CW-structure on the space  $X$ .

Proof:

Since  $\underline{\partial}_n : \underline{C}_n(X, A) \rightarrow \underline{C}_{n-1}(X, A)$  is defined as  $\underline{\partial}_n = \Phi_{n-1} \circ \delta_* \circ \Psi_n$  we have  $\underline{\partial}_{n-1} \circ \underline{\partial}_n = \Phi_{n-2} \circ \delta_* \circ \Psi_{n-1} \circ \Phi_{n-1} \circ \delta_* \circ \Psi_n = \Phi_{n-2} \circ (\delta_* \circ \delta_*) \circ \Psi_n = 0$  since  $\delta_*^2 = 0$ .

Let  $\sigma \in \underline{C}_n(X, A)$  be a generator, i.e. an  $n$ -cell of  $X$  not in  $A$ .  $\underline{\partial}_n(\sigma)$  is defined by taking  $\sigma \mapsto f_{\sigma*}[D_\sigma^n] \in H_n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-1)})$ , applying the connecting homomorphism  $\delta_n : H_n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-1)}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X^{(n-1)})$ , sending this into  $H_{n-1}(X^{(n-1)}, X^{(n-2)})$  by  $j_*$ , and then applying  $\Phi_{n-1}$ . Since  $\delta_n$  is a natural homomorphism, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n(D_\sigma^n, \partial D_\sigma^n) & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & H_{n-1}(\partial D_\sigma^n) \\ \downarrow f_{\sigma*} & & \downarrow f_{\partial\sigma*} \\ H_n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-1)}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} & H_{n-1}(X^{(n-1)}) \end{array}$$

and we see that  $\delta_n(f_{\sigma*}[D_\sigma^n]) = f_{\partial\sigma*}[\partial D_\sigma^n]$ . (See Example 2.2 and Lemma 2.11 When  $n = 1$ ,  $[\partial D_\sigma^1] = -[\sigma_{-1}] + [\sigma_1]$ ). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\partial}_n(\sigma) &= \Phi_{n-1}(f_{\partial\sigma*}[\partial D_\sigma^n]) = \sum_{\tau} \phi_{n-1}(p_{\tau*}f_{\partial\sigma*}[\partial D_\sigma^n])\tau \\ &= \sum_{\tau} \phi_{n-1}((p_{\tau} \circ f_{\partial\sigma})_*[\partial D_\sigma^n])\tau \\ &= \sum_{\tau} \phi_{n-1}(\deg(p_{\tau} \circ f_{\partial\sigma}) \cdot [S^{n-1}])\tau \\ &= \sum_{\tau} \deg(p_{\tau} \circ f_{\partial\sigma})\tau \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of the degree.

Now consider the exact sequence of the triple  $X^{(n-2)} \subseteq X^{(n-1)} \subseteq X^{(n)}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_n(X^{(n-1)}, X^{(n-2)}) & \longrightarrow & H_n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-2)}) & \longrightarrow & H_n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-1)}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_*} & H_{n-1}(X^{(n-1)}, X^{(n-2)}) \\ \approx \downarrow \text{Lemma 2.11} & & \approx \downarrow \text{Lemma 2.12} & & \approx \downarrow \text{Lemma 2.11} & & \approx \downarrow \text{Lemma 2.11} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(X^{(n)}, A) & \longrightarrow & \underline{C}_n(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\partial}_n} & \underline{C}_{n-1}(X, A) \end{array}$$

From this we deduce that  $H_n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-2)})$  can be identified with  $\ker \underline{\partial}_n$ , that is

$$H_n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-2)}) \approx H_n(X^{(n)}, A) \approx \ker \underline{\partial}_n = \underline{Z}_n(X, A).$$